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## Specular-Diffuse-Glossy Paths in BPT

- Connectable

Inefficient for extremely glossy surfaces $\Theta$

- Need many samples ©



## Previous Work



Stochastic light culling for VPLs
[Tokuyoshi16,17]


Our method for offline BPT

## SDG paths

Uncorrelated variance Anisotropic BRDFs
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## Overview of Our BPT

Light-subpath tracing pass


Store light vertices in a cache similar to virtual point lights [Keller97]
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## Acceptance Range in World Space

Same shape for each eye vertex
Different (random) size for each pair of eye and light vertices
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Same shape for each eye vertex
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## Culling Using BVH

- Build a BVH for cached light vertices
- Hierarchical intersection tests between the range and each BVH node
- Ellipsoidal range is used for a simple intersection test



## Stochastic Scattering Range



Different for each pair of eye and light vertices

## Range Size in BVH Traversal

- Random for each light vertex (i.e., leaf node)
- Use the largest size in each node for conservative intersection test
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## Pregenerate and store in each node?

(Similar to lightcuts [Walter05] \& stochastic light culling [Tokuyoshi16])


Pregeneration Reference
Larges Correlation of variance ${ }^{2}(3)$

Pregenerate and store in each node?
(Similar to liahtcuts [Walter051 \& stochastic liaht culling TTokuvoshi16])

## Range Size in BVH Traversal

- Random for each light vertex (i.e., leaf node)
- Use the largest size in each node for conservative intersection test
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## Range Size in BVH Traversal

- Random for each light vertex (i.e., leaf node)
- Use the largest size in each node for conservative intersection test

Largest range $=\sqrt{\frac{\text { Constant } \times \text { Scattering lobe }}{\text { Minimum of uniform random numbers }}}$
Different for each pair of eye vertex and BVH node

## Top-down Minimum Random Number Generation



On-the-fly generation in BVH traversal
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## Semi-Stratified Sampling



## Semi-Stratified Sampling



## Overlaps of Strata at the Leaf Level



Stratified only in two sibling nodes
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Range for Anisotropic Microfacet BRDFs

## Anisotropic Scattering Lobes

- Scattering lobe is anisotropic for microfacet BRDFs
- Even if the NDF is isotropic
- Make a tight ellipsoidal range
- Approximate the scattering lobe using a Squared Ellipsoidal Lobe

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Probability }=\min \left(\frac{\text { Constant } \times \text { Scattefing lobe }}{\text { Distanc }{ }^{2}}, 1\right) \\
& \text { Range }=\sqrt{\frac{\text { Constant } \times \text { Scattering lobe }}{\text { Inifan }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## GGX-based Squared Ellipsoidal Lobe

Isotropic GGX distribution


## GGX-based Squared Ellipsoidal Lobe

$$
K\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} ;\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{x} \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{y} \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{z}
\end{array}\right], \dot{\alpha}_{x}, \dot{\alpha}_{y}\right)=\frac{4 \dot{\alpha}_{\max }^{4}}{\left(U-v_{z}+\dot{\alpha}_{\max }^{2}\left(U+v_{z}\right)\right)^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\alpha}_{\max }=\max \left(\dot{\alpha}_{x}, \dot{\alpha}_{y}\right) \\
& {\left[v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z}\right]=\left[\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{y}, \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{z}\right]} \\
& U=\sqrt{\frac{\dot{\alpha}_{\max }^{2}}{\dot{\alpha}_{x}^{2}} v_{x}^{2}+\frac{\dot{\alpha}_{\max }^{2}}{\dot{\alpha}_{y}^{2}} v_{y}^{2}+v_{z}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Approximately equal to an anisotropic GGX lobe for small roughness

$$
\approx 4 \pi \dot{\alpha}_{x} \dot{\alpha}_{y} D\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} ;\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{x} \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{y} \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{z}
\end{array}\right], 2 \dot{\alpha}_{x}, 2 \dot{\alpha}_{y}\right)
$$

## Analytical Lobe Approximation



## Results

$1600 \times 1200$ SCREEN RESOLUTION
AMD RYZENTM THREADRIPPERTM 2990WX PROCESSOR

## Combination with PCBPT propons ( 15 min )



Caustics reflected on the mirror (GGX roughness: 0.0001)
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Caustics reflected on the mirror (GGX roughness: 0.0001)

## Combination with VCM

 PCVCM: PCBPT + vertex merging

Initial merging radius:


PCVCM
0.6 pixel
(9475 iterations)
(9311 iterations)

(7090 iterations)

## Convergence Speed



## Anisotropic BRDF (15 min)



Roughness: (0.0001, 0.01)



## Related Work: Many-Light Methods

Pregenerated random numbers (require storage)

- Correlation can be reduced by sacrificing the memory usage [Walter06], but cannot be avoided completely

|  | MIS for SDG paths | Uncorrelated variance | Anisotropic BRDFs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lightcuts [Walter05] | $X$ | $x$ | $X$ |
| Stochastic light culling [Tokuyoshil 6,17$]$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| Many-light importance sampling [Estevez18] | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Ours | $\checkmark$ | $/,$ | $\checkmark$ |

On-the-fly random number generation
(no storage)

## Limitations




- Perfectly specular surfaces
- Fireflies can still occur on near singularities
- Can be removed easily by VCM or using outlier rejection [Zirr 18]
- Glossy-to-glossy interreflections
$\downarrow$ Correlation of paths due to path reuse (similar to VCM)
- Future work: correlation-aware MIS heuristics [Jendersie 19]


## Conclusions

Ellipsoid for anisotropic lobes


- BVH-based acceleration for many Russian roulettes
- On-the-fly minimum random number generation in BVH traversal
- Efficient ellipsoidal range for anisotropic BRDFs
- Limited to glossy reflections, but efficient for extremely glossy reflections
- E.g., GGX roughness: 0.0001 (hard to distinguish from perfectly specular surfaces)


## Thank you for your attention
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