AMDA



Multi-Fragment Rendering for Glossy Bounces on the GPU

Atsushi Yoshimura Yusuke Tokuyoshi Takahiro Harada Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.

Multi-Fragment Rendering

Multiple data in a single pixel
Wide variety of applications

Order-Independent Transparency
Anti-Aliasing
Defocus Blur

Fragments with Opacity, depth, object identifier, etc...

Matte generation in AMD Radeon[™] ProRender

 \odot

- ♦ We use Cryptomatte for matte generation [Friedman 2015]
- - ♦ Matte from a combination of any object identifiers
 - ♦ Provides a storage format for multi-fragment rendering







Cat: 40%

Background: 60%

Limitation: Glossy bounces

♦ Existing work mainly focus the primary visible fragments

♦ But the matte should represent the object





Rendered image

Matte of the rocks

Extend to glossy bounces including indirect visibility

Our contributions

- A computation method for fragment coverage in multiple bounces using a weighting function
- A weighting function that penalizes the coverage of a fragment with less visibility of object details
- ♦ The implementation details of our coverage update process on the GPU
 - ♦ Please refer to our paper

Our Approach

Our coverage computation

♦ Scattering of rays blurs details



Our coverage computation

- ♦ Scattering of rays blurs details
- ♦ The coverage of fragments for the object as an amount of visibility of details





The amount of passing through



- Describe the amount of passing through using the integral of the product of a BSDF, cosine term, and weighting function W
- ♦ The weighting function W is designed to controls the passage

Our metric for the weighting function

- ♦ Need a new metric how much object details are lost due to the BSDF
- ♦ Depends on the BSDF and the distance from previous shading point
- ♦ Use the approach of ray cones [Akenine 2021] and an idea of ray variance from the BSDF



The variance of rays

♦ The variance of rays increases at every bounce due to the BSDF



Our metric – cone ratio



 \triangleright The metric represents how much pixel blur is occurred due to the BSDF.

Problem on the direct use of cone ratio

♦ Our cone ratio measures the loss of object details due to the BSDF ☺

♦ May not perceptually plausible for artists ☺



14

Tweakable mapping

♦ Simple mapping function with a user-specified parameter

$$W(x, \omega, \omega') = (cone \ ratio)^{\alpha}$$



Rendered image

The coverage of the reflected floor 15

Results



Rendered image

Matte image of plants with previous work [FRIEDMAN 2015]



Rendered image

Matte image of plants with ours



Re-colored image

Matte image of plants with ours







21

100%



Limitations

- ♦ Our method produces 0% coverage for perfect specular surface
 - \diamond We provide another practical option (please see our paper for more details)



100% coverage

Limitations

- ♦ Our method produces 0% coverage for perfect specular surface
 - ♦ We provide another practical option (please see our paper for more details)
- ♦ Fragment loss due to fixed-size storage
- ♦ The granularity of our matte generation is limited to object identifiers
 - ♦ Additional data such as depth, opacity, bounce type can be used



Conclusions

- ♦ Extend multi-fragment rendering to glossy bounces for matte generation
 - ♦ Our weighting function considering the diffusion of rays
- ♦ Our implementation of the coverage update on the GPU

References

- ♦ [Friedman 2015] Friedman, J. and Jones, A. C. "Fully Automatic ID Mattes with Support for Motion Blur and Transparency"
- ♦ [Akenine 2021] Akenine-Möller, T. and Crassin, C. and Boksansky, J. et al. "Improved shader and texture level of detail using ray cones"

Děkuji



